



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
01/26/2004	David K. Gardner	033948-0126	6872	
90 11/15/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP		ANGELL, JON E		
		ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		L	THE EXTRONIBER	
	01/26/2004	01/26/2004 David K. Gardner  90 11/15/2005  RDNER LLP  MAN STREET	01/26/2004 David K. Gardner 033948-0126  90 11/15/2005 EXAM  RDNER LLP  MAN STREET  ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			10/764,821		GARDNER ET AL.		
		E	xaminer		Art Unit		
		J	lon Eric Angell		1635		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appea	rs on the cover she	et with the co	orrespondence ad	dress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMM  a). In no event, however, m  apply and will expire SIX (6) use the application to beco	IUNICATION  nay a reply be time  i) MONTHS from to  me ABANDONED	.  lety filed  the mailing date of this co  (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .					
• ===	·		ction is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance	e except for formal	matters, pros	secution as to the	merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or ele	ction requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u>□</u> accept	ted or b)□ objecte	d to by the E	xaminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dra	awing(s) be held in ab	beyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	=	•			• • •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority		·	•	·(d) or (f).		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority	documents have b	been receive	d in this National	Stage	
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (I	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of	the certified copies	s not received	d.		
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	(S) D NUCLEUR (No. 1) (S) O NUCLEUR (NO. 1)						
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/764,821

Art Unit: 1635

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-20 are currently pending in the application and are addressed herein.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, 14-17 and 20 drawn to mammalian culture media/supplement, classified in class 435, subclass 404.
- II. Claim 18 and 19, drawn to a method of producing a media supplement, classified in class 435, subclass 404.
- III. Claim 13 drawn to a method of increasing the viability of embryonic cells, classified in class 435, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. Invention I encompasses a media supplement while Invention II is drawn to a method of making a media supplement by adding recombinant human albumin to water, saline or medium. It is noted that, given its broadest reasonable interpretation, Invention I can be made without water, saline or medium. Therefore, the supplement can be made by another and materially different process,

Application/Control Number: 10/764,821 Page 3

Art Unit: 1635

such as adding recombinant human albumin to a solution other than water, saline or medium (e.g., fetal human serum).

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can used in a materially different process of use. Invention I encompasses a media supplement while Invention III is drawn to a method of increasing the viability of embryonic cells. It is noted that Invention I specifically indicates that the medium can be used for increasing the viability of gametes. Therefore, the product can be used in a materially different process of use, such as for culturing non-embryonic cells, such as gametes or other non-embryonic cells.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is different (i.e., the searches are not co-extensive), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of medias encompassed the claimed invention (see claim 2):

G1.2/G2.2, KSOM/KSOMaa, M16, SOF/SOFaa, MTF, P1, HTF, Earle's, Hams F-10, M2, Hepes-Gl.2, Whitten's and PBS

Application/Control Number: 10/764,821

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-20 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Kathryn Cox on 11/12/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/764,821

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon Eric Angell whose telephone number is 571-272-0756. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jon Eric Angell, Ph.D.

Art unit 1635